## ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

|        | & SHOP &  |
|--------|---|
| 1. Pr  | oject Name: Balaya Markets Concrete Bins - GEST   |
|        | oject Location: Balaka Asia   |
|        | Name of the area Balaka Town  |
|        | TA Mehmala  |
|        | Ward Rhullui/hlwawadzi  |
|        | VDC Mpnfula   |
| 3. Eli | igibility Criteria  |
| i.     | Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF? Yes [ \sqrt{]} No [ ]   |
| ii.    | Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu?  Yes [ \sqrt{]} No [ ]   |
| iii.   | Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council?  Yes [ No [ ]                                   |
| iv.    | Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented Yes [ ] No [, ] , Number [ ] |
| v.     | Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site?  Yes [ ] No [ ]  |
| vi.    | Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?  |
|        | Yes [ \(  \) No [ ]   |
| vii.   | Has land been secured for use by the project?   |
|        | Yes [ No [ ] N/A [ ]  |
|        | If Yes, How?  |
|        | <ol> <li>Will use public land [√] 2. Voluntary Donation [ ] 3. Purchase/compensation [</li> </ol>   |

| viii. | Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the |
|-------|---|
|       | environment?  |

|       | - | 7 7 | r h |
|-------|---|-----|-----|
| Yes [ |   | No  | . / |
| 100   | 1 | TAO | 1   |

| 4. Techn | Sector of the Project.  Planning & Ded                                      |
|----------|---|
| i.       | Sector of the Project.  |
| ii.      | Number of beneficiaries [Male]  |
| iii.     | Distance to the nearest structure (KM) $\mathcal{O}$ . $\mathcal{A}$        |
| iv.      | Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [ No [ ] |

## 5. Appraisal Criteria

| CRITERIA   |   | SCORE                    |     |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----|--|--|
|  |   | (Tick against the score) |     |  |  |
| B. PROJECT APPRAISAL   | 3. PROJECT APPRAISAL                          |                          |     |  |  |
| (On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory    | <u>')                                    </u> |                          | (3) |  |  |
| (i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities? | 0   | 1                        | 12  |  |  |
| (ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?                                     | 0   | 1                        | (2) |  |  |
| (iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical          |   |                          | 0   |  |  |
| support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant        | 0   | 1                        | (2) |  |  |
| department)  |   |                          |     |  |  |
| (iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed         | 0   |                          | 2   |  |  |
| youth? (viability analysis)  |   | 0                        |     |  |  |
| (v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without       | 0   | 1                        | 2   |  |  |
| limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)     |   | 1                        | 0   |  |  |
| (vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in       | 0   | 1                        | (2) |  |  |
| one year?  |   | 1                        | 8   |  |  |
| (vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?                  | 0   | 1                        | (2) |  |  |
| (viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?                             | 0   | (1)                      | 2   |  |  |
| (ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such        | 0   | 1                        | (2) |  |  |
| project?   | 0   | 1                        | 0   |  |  |
|  |   |                          |     |  |  |
| TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)  |   | M                        |     |  |  |

## 6. Overall Assessment

| Assessment Assessment          | Decision Guide  | Tick as appropriate |  |
|--------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Recommended for prioritisation | <ul> <li>If the answer to all the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria ) is "YES"</li> <li>If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥12(above)</li> </ul> |                     |  |

|          | 67%)   |  |
|----------|--|--|
|          | <ul> <li>If the answer to any of the questions in section 5     (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO".</li> <li>If the overall score for section</li> </ul> |  |
| Deferred | 5(Appraisal<br>Criteria) is less than <b>12 (less than</b><br><b>67%)</b>  |  |
|          | <ul> <li>If the project requires changes in certain areas.</li> </ul>  |  |

## 7. Appraisal Team

| Name            | Designation | Signature | Date       |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|------------|
| 1. E. CHIHANA   | CPO         | Ar        | 24-66-2021 |
| 2.1 KAMENI      | DEM         | 1 Sha     | 24-06-2021 |
| 3. MATEKENYA    | DWO         | ma.       | 24-06-3021 |
| 4. J. CHINDENSA | CA          | (A)       | 24-06-2021 |
| 5. D. KONONDO   | ME          | HHL 0     | 2406/2021  |