

ANNEX 1: ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SCREENING FORM FOR SCREENING OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL IMPACTS OF GESD PROJECT ACTIVITIES



Government of Republic of Malawi

GOVERNANCE TO ENABLE SERVICE DELIVERY PROJECT (GESD)

Environmental & Social Screening Form

(Guidelines: Site inspection of project site. The evaluation results to be a consensus of at least two officials)

Project Name: Mwanza Shops	District: Mwanza
Project Location TA : Kanduku GVH: Mchotseni Coordinates:	Name of Zone
Name of Village: Mchotseni	Nature/Size:
Name, Signature & Designation of Evaluator(s): 1. Nelson Kapfukumbwa 2.	Date of Field Evaluation: 18/02/2002
	Sector Commercial

	Impact	Appraisal		Significance			Proposed Mitigation Measures
		Yes	No	Low	Medium	high	
1.0	Environmental Screening						
	Will the project generate the following negative impacts						
1.1	Loss of trees/vegetation	✓		✓			planting vegetative cover
1.2	Soil erosion		✓				
1.3	Siltation of water courses		✓				
1.4	Loss of habitat to wildlife		✓				
1.5	Dust emissions	✓		✓			
1.6	Generation of solid wastes	✓		✓			watering, Marking placing strategic bin
1.7	Increased incidences of open defecation		✓				

	Impact	Appraisal		Significance			Proposed Mitigation Measures
		Yes	No	Low	Medium	high	
1.8	Borrow pits and pools of stagnant water		✓				
1.9	Rubble/heaps of excavated soils		✓				
1.10	Introduction of Alien / Invasive plants and animal species		✓				
1.11	Destabilisation of river banks and or drainage system due to sand mining		✓				
1.12	Spread of water related diseases e.g. Diarrhoea		✓				
1.13	Loss of soil fertility		✓				
1.14	Contamination from agrochemicals and pesticides		✓				
1.14	Salinisation or alkalinisation of soils		✓				
1.15	Reduced water quality and quantity		✓				
1.16	Incidence of flooding		✓				
1.17	Removal of native tree species		✓				
2.0	Social and Economic Screening						
	Will the project generate the following negative social and economic impacts?						
2.1	Disruption of marriages	✓		✓			Sensitization awareness, provide PPE sensitization, condom
2.2	Risk of injuries to workers and communities	✓		✓			
2.3	Spread of HIV/Aids and STIs	✓		✓			
	Risk of child labour	✓	✓	✓			
2.4	Increase in cases of gender based violence	✓		✓			Sensitization
2.5	Increase in risk of theft and crime		✓				
2.6	Loss of or impacts on private land/gardens		✓				
2.7	Loss of or impacts on private residential premises		✓				
2.8	Loss of or impacts on private commercial premises		✓				
2.9	Loss of or impacts on crops for a person/persons		✓				
2.10	Loss of or impacts on forest trees for a person/persons		✓				
2.11	Loss of or impacts on fruit trees for a person/persons		✓				
2.12	Eviction of squatters		✓				
2.13	Eviction of vendors		✓				
2.14	Loss of or impacts on rental accommodation		✓				
2.16	Closure/blockage of public footpath/road		✓				
2.17	Blockage of pathways for livestock		✓				
2.18	Loss of or impacts on grazing land		✓				
2.19	Loss of or impacts on cultural sites – graveyards, ritual sites		✓				
2.20	Loss of or impacts on public facilities – church, borehole, water kiosks,		✓				
2.21	Loss of or impacts on access to public water resources/facilities		✓				
2.22	Loss of or impacts on access to natural resources		✓				

	Impact	Appraisal		Significance			Proposed Mitigation Measures
		Yes	No	Low	Medium	high	
	Conflicts over use of natural resources e.g. water and forest resources		✓				
	Loss or impact on communal facilities e.g. playground,		✓				



3.0	SCREENING CRITERIA FOR POSITIVE IMPACTS	Yes	No	Low	Medium	High	Proposed Measures	Enhancement
	Will the project generate the following positive social and economic impacts?							
3.1	Creation of job opportunities	✓				✓	giving priority to local ppl	
3.2	Promotion of local skills and knowledge	✓				✓	provide training	
3.3	Asset creation	✓				✓	public participation	
3.4	Improved transportation							
3.5	Improved food security							
3.6	Increased household income	✓				✓	promoting business	
3.7	Improved standards of living/social status	✓				✓	employment	
3.8	Creation of business opportunities	✓				✓	availability of soft	
3.9	Restoration of vegetative cover							
3.10	Reduced soil erosion							

Consultation (comments from beneficiaries)..... The community agreed to plant vegetative cover and hedges around the construction site

Overall evaluation of Environmental and Socioeconomic Screening Exercises.

The results of the screening process would be either the proposed sub - projects would be exempted or subjected to further environmental and resettlement assessment. The basis of these options is listed in the table below:

Review of Environmental Screening	Tick	Review of Socioeconomic Screening	Tick
1. The project is cleared. No serious impacts. (When all scores are "No" in form)	✓	1. The project is cleared. No serious social impact. (Where scores are all "No", "few" in form)	✓
2. There is need for further assessment. (when some score is "Yes, High" in form)		2. There is need for resettlement/compensation. (When some score is "Yes, High" in form)	
3. Need to prepare ESMP	✓	3. Need to prepare RAP	

Endorsement by Environmental District Officer		Endorsement by Director of Planning and Development	
Name	Nelson Kachekumunda	Name:	EMMANUELA SOLIAYA
Signature:		Signature:	
	Date 18/02/22		Date:

NOTES:

1. The DPD shall ensure that a completed form is filed within project file immediately after endorsement. EDO should keep a duplicate.
2. Project Management Committee will maintain a copy of completed form