

GE 81

ANNEX 1: DESK APPRAISAL FORM

1. Project Name: NSANQU / MULUNGUZI HEALTH POST

2. Project Location:
Name of the area BALAKA WEST CONSTITUENCY
TA PHALUKA
Ward MULUNGUZI
VDC CHIZUNGU

3. Eligibility Criteria

- i. Is the project derived from DDP? Or VAP? Or DDPF?
Yes [] No []
- ii. Is the project in line with DDF guidelines on investment menu?
Yes [] No []
- iii. Is the Project cost within the funding ceilings of the development budget of the council?
Yes [] No []
- iv. Does the area/VDC have any history of project management? How many projects has VDC successfully implemented
Yes [] No [] , Number [N/A]
- v. Are there uncompleted projects under the council in the proposed project site?
Yes [] No []
- vi. Is there adequate access to the site, and are transport facilities satisfactory?
Yes [] No []
- vii. Has land been secured for use by the project?
Yes [] No [] N/A []
If Yes, How?
1. Will use public land [] 2. Voluntary Donation [] 3. Purchase/compensation []
- viii. Will the project have irreversible and unmanageable negative effects on the environment?
Yes [] No []

4. Technical:

- i. Sector of the Project..... HEALTH
- ii. Number of beneficiaries [Male]..... 5,732 [Female]..... 6,018
- iii. Distance to the nearest structure (KM).....
- iv. Has the sector endorsed proposed project in the proposed site? yes [] No []

5. Appraisal Criteria






CRITERIA	SCORE (Tick against the score)		
B. PROJECT APPRAISAL			
<i>(On a scale of 0-2, where: "0" means unsatisfactory and "2" very satisfactory)</i>			
(i) Is the project consistent with the national, sector and district priorities?	0	1	<u>2</u>
(ii) Is the project drawn from DDP? Or VAPs?	0	1	<u>2</u>
(iii) Can the relevant ministry/department provide any needed technical support to ensure sustainability of the Project (Verify with the relevant department)	0	1	<u>2</u>
(iv) To what extent is the Project likely to benefit the poor/unemployed youth? (viability analysis)	0	1	<u>2</u>
(v) Does the project site permit easy access for all beneficiaries without limitation or impediment? (Assess location and land ownership issues if any)	0	1	<u>2</u>
(vi) Is the project size of the project simple enough to be implemented in one year?	0	1	<u>2</u>
(vii) Is the technology used readily available in the district?	0	1	<u>2</u>
(viii) Is there a sound Project sustainability plan?	0	1	<u>2</u>
(ix) What is the level of experience does the council have to manage such project?	0	1	<u>2</u>
TOTAL SCORE (0 to 18)			<u>18</u>

6. Overall Assessment

Assessment	Decision Guide	Tick as appropriate
Recommended for prioritisation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the answer to all the questions in section 3 (Eligibility Criteria) is "YES" • If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is ≥ 12 (above 67%) 	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Deferred	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the answer to any of the questions in section 5 (Eligibility Criteria) is "NO". • If the overall score for section 5 (Appraisal Criteria) is less than 12 (less than 67%) 	<input type="checkbox"/>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the project requires changes in certain areas. 	
--	---	--

7. Appraisal Team

Name	Designation	Signature	Date
1. Peter Chiumbira	CEO		15/12/2021
2. David Konondo	M&E		15/12/2021
3. McDonald Nyika	CEO		15/12/2021
4. Blessings Christine	CEO		15/12/2021
5. Joyce Chindessa	CA		15/12/2021